



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

)N NO.	CONFIRMATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FILING DATE	APPLICATION NO.	
	2837	BELL-0121/01127	Nathan E. Perry	06/26/2001	09/891,638	
	INER	EXAM		38952 7590 07/14/2005		
	THJUAN P	KNOWLI		WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP		
BER	PAPER NUMBE	ART UNIT				
		2642		,	<b>3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 </b>	
BER	THJUAN P	KNOWLIN, ART UNIT		*****		

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/891,638	PERRY, NATHAN E.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thjuan P. Knowlin	2642			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insigns of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1  If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replication of the properties of the propert	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from t, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ja	anuary 2005.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	<u> </u>					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 June 2001</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	D⊠ accepted or b) □ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document:  2. Certified copies of the priority document:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment filed on January 27, 2005 has been entered. Claims 1, 11, and 22 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 1-24 are still pending in this application, with claims 1, 11, 15, and 22 being independent.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brennan et al (US 5,329,578), in view of La Porta et al (US 5,563,939).
- 3. In regards to claims 1, 5, 9, 10, 22, and 23, Brennan discloses a method for blocking a call to a called line selected by a calling party, said calling party having a calling line identification number (CLID), said method comprising: obtaining a calling line identification number for said communication; obtaining a called line identification number for said communication; looking for said calling line identification number in a data store (See Fig. 1b, database 24, and callers list 26) to determine data associated with said calling line identification number concerning calls from the calling line to a

Application/Control Number: 09/891,638

Art Unit: 2642

called line which are to be blocked; and terminating the call if the data indicates that the call is to be blocked (See col. 2 lines 17-27, col. 3 lines 62-68, col. 11 lines 24-46, and col. 13 lines 63-67). Brennan, however, does not disclose receiving an outgoing communication directed to a called line from a calling line, said calling line associated with a subscriber to an outgoing call blocking service. La Porta, however, does disclose receiving an outgoing communication directed to a called line (See Fig. 1 and telephone set 112) from a calling line (See Fig. 1 and telephone set 101), said calling line associated with a subscriber to an outgoing call blocking service (See col. 6 lines 26-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to employ this feature within the method, as a way of allowing a subscriber/user to be able to block outgoing calls to specific destinations/numbers.

Page 3

- 4. In regards to claims 2 and 24, Brennan discloses the method, further comprising completing the call if the data associated with the calling line identification number does not indicate that calls to the called line are to be blocked (See Abstract and col. 11 lines 24-46).
- 5. In regards to claims 3 and 8, Brennan discloses the method, further comprising always completing a call to an emergency call line (See col. 2 lines 28-32 and col. 12 lines 15-17).
- 6. In regards to claims 4 and 7, Brennan discloses the method, wherein said data concerning calls to be blocked comprises a list of area codes to which calls are to be blocked (See 5 lines 15-18).

Application/Control Number: 09/891,638 Page 4

Art Unit: 2642

7. In regards to claim 6, Brennan discloses the method, wherein said data concerning calls to be blocked comprises all calls (See col. 6 lines 47-51).

- 8. In regards to claims 11, 12, 13, 14, 19, 20, and 21, La Porta discloses the method of activating an outgoing call blocking service, comprising: receiving a predetermined access code from a calling line at a central office associated with the calling line; prompting a caller to provide data concerning calls to be blocked; receiving the provided data; and storing said data in a data store associated with the call blocking service (See col. 6 lines 19-42).
- 9. In regards to claims 15, 16, 17, and 18, Brennan discloses the method of deactivating a call blocking service, comprising; receiving a predetermined access code from a calling line at a central office associated with the calling line a predetermined access code corresponding to a call blocking service; prompting a caller for an authorization code (col.13 lines 25-29); retrieving a stored authorization code associated with said calling line identification number; and comparing the received authorization code with the stored authorization code (col. 4-5 lines 67-8). Brennan, however, does not pertain to outgoing calls. La Porta, however, does pertain to outgoing calls (See col. 6 lines 26-42).

## Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,638 Page 5

Art Unit: 2642

#### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Fleischer, III et al (US 6,490,345) teach a dialing plan service including outgoing call screening, remote tie-line routing, call data reporting, and billing. Swan et al (US 6,134,310) teach a telecommunications functions management system for managing outgoing calls from a plurality of telephone terminals

- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan P. Knowlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.
- 13. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.
- 14. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thjuan P. Knowlin

JACK CHIANG PRIMARY EXAMINER